arXiv:math/0402297v2 [math.DG] 26 Oct 2004

Equivariant Integration formulæ in HyperKähler Geometry

Jonathan Munn

February 1, 2008

Abstract

Lisa Jeffrey and Frances Kirwan developed an integration theory for symplectic reductions. That is, given a symplectic manifold with symplectic group action, they developed a way of pulling the integration of forms on the reduction back to an integration of group-equivariant forms on the original space.

We seek an analogue of the symplectic integration formula as developed by for the hyperKähler case. This is almost straightforward, but we have to overcome such obstacles as the lack of a hyper-Darboux theorem and the lack of compactness in the case of hyperKähler reduction.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Equivariant Cohomology of Symplectic Manifolds **3**

2.1 Symplectic Group Actions 3

2.2 The Kirwan Map 3

2.3 The Symplectic Structure near $\mu^{-1}(0)$ 5

2.4 Equivariant Integration 5

2.5 Localisation and Residues 10

3 Equivariant Integrals in HyperKähler Reduction 12

3.1 Preliminaries 12

3.2 Localisation of HyperKähler Integrals for $G = \mathrm{S}^1$ 22

3.3 HyperKähler Quotients and Tori 25

3.4 Concluding Remarks 27

1 Introduction

While investigating the behaviour of instantons on S^4 in [9], we found that we need a result that links integrals over a hyperKähler reduction to an integral over the original space which is, more often than not, a much simpler space. To do this we use the notion of equivariant cohomology, in particular from the de Rham viewpoint, see [1].

One of the major results of this theory is the localisation formula.

Theorem 1.1 *Let the torus T act on the compact manifold M with fixed set M_0, then for any $\alpha \in H^\bullet_T(M)$*

$$\int_M \alpha = \int_{M_0} \frac{\iota^*\alpha}{e(\nu(M_0))}$$

where $\nu(M_0)$ is the normal bundle of M_0 in M and $e(\nu(M_0))$ its Euler class on M_0

For the proof see [10] pp24-25.

Before we develop the equivariant integration theory for hyperKähler manifolds, we need to motivate ourselves with the symplectic case which, although well-established in [5] and [2], we present in some detail since the hyperKähler case will follow in an entirely similar manner. We hope that the reader will find this a helpful comparison.

2 Equivariant Cohomology of Symplectic Manifolds

2.1 Symplectic Group Actions

Let (M,ω) be a compact symplectic manifold with a Hamiltonian action of the compact Lie group G which has bi-invariant metric $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$. Let $\mu : M \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ be the moment map of this action, and assume that 0 is a regular value of μ so that $\mu^{-1}(0)$ is a manifold and hence so is the Marsden-Weinstein quotient $M/\!\!/G = \mu^{-1}(0)/G$. Our goal is to relate integrals (i.e cohomology) over $M/\!\!/G$, with the induced symplectic structure ω_0, to integrals over M.

Let $N = \mu^{-1}(0)$, then we have the principal bundle

$$\pi : N \to N/G = M/\!\!/G.$$

Let $\xi_1 \ldots \xi_s$ be an orthonormal basis of G and $\theta = \theta_i \xi_i$ be a connection on $N \to M/\!\!/G$, then

$$\Omega = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{s} \theta_i$$

is the induced volume form when restricting to the fibres of N, hence

$$\pi_* \Omega = \text{vol } G.$$

The main result is

Theorem 2.1

$$\int_{M/\!\!/G} e^{i\omega_0} = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{(i)^{s^2}}{(2\pi)^s \,\text{vol} G} \int_{\mathfrak{g}} [\mathrm{d}y] \left(e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)} \int_M e^{i\omega + i\{\mu,y\}} \right)$$

where at all times we are using a version of the Berezin integral formalism, that the integral of a k-form over a l-dimensional sub-manifold is zero if $k \neq l$.

2.2 The Kirwan Map

Let M be a compact symplectic manifold and G a compact connected Lie Group which acts in a Hamiltonian fashion on M, and let μ be a moment map. Suppose that 0 is a regular value of μ. In [7], Frances Kirwan details a method by which we can show that there is a surjective map

$$\kappa : \mathrm{H}^\bullet_G(M;\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{H}^\bullet(M/\!\!/G;\mathbb{C})$$

which is given as $\kappa = \iota^*(p^*)^{-1}$ where $p : \mu^{-1}(0) \to M/\!\!/G$ is the quotient map with

$$p^* : \mathrm{H}^\bullet(M/\!\!/G) \to \mathrm{H}^\bullet_G(\mu^{-1}(0))$$

being the isomorphism, since G acts locally freely on $\mu^{-1}(0)$, and $\iota : \mu^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow M$ inclusion. This is proved using the Morse theory of the function $f = |\mu|^2$ using the Killing norm on $\mathfrak{g}^*$.

We should like to obtain a similar result for the boundaries of symplectic manifolds, and later consider hyperKähler manifolds and boundaries of hyperKähler manifolds.

The function f gives us a stratification of M, that is a finite collection of subspaces $S_\alpha = f^{-1}((-\infty,\alpha])$ for each critical value α, such that

1. $$M = \bigcup_{\alpha\in A} S_\alpha,$$
2. there is a partial ordering $>$ on A such that
$$\overline{S_\alpha} \subseteq \bigcup_{\gamma\geq\alpha} S_\gamma.$$

Let $M_\alpha = \bigcup_{\alpha\geq\gamma} S_\gamma$. We have the result

Lemma 2.2 ([7], Lemma 2.18 pp33-34) *Suppose* $\{S_\gamma | \gamma \in A\}$ *is a smooth* G*-invariant stratification of* M *such that for each* $\alpha \in A$*, the equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle to* S_α *in* M*, is not a zero divisor in*

$$\mathrm{H}^\bullet_G(S_\alpha;\mathbb{C}).$$

Then the inclusion

$$\iota : M_\alpha \backslash S_\alpha \hookrightarrow M_\alpha$$

induces a surjection

$$\iota^* : \mathrm{H}^\bullet_G(M_\alpha) \to \mathrm{H}^\bullet_G(M_\alpha \backslash S_\alpha).$$

For the proof see [7]. For symplectic manifolds with a Hamiltonian action of S^1, we know that not only is the fixed set a submanifold, but its Euler class is not a zero divisor! Kirwan also proves that the stratifications obtained by minimally degenerate functions are just as good, and that moment maps are minimally degenerate and the stratifications satisfy the hypothesis in Theorem 2.2. Prof. Kirwan has also proved surjectivity in the hyperKähler case using the norm square of the hyperKähler moment map $|\vec{\mu}|^2$, see [6].

2.3 The Symplectic Structure near $\mu^{-1}(0)$

Guillemin and Sternberg in [4] give a proof of the coisotropic embedding theorem which allows us to describe the structure of M in a neighbourhood of N. We state it in the following form

Theorem 2.3 (The Coisotropic Embedding Theorem) *(Guillemin and Sternberg [4] p315) Given a symplectic manifold* (B, ω_0) *and a principal* G*-bundle* $\pi : P \to B$ *then there is a unique*[1] *symplectic manifold* (M, ω) *into which* P *embeds as a coisotropic submanifold and the restriction of* ω *to* P *is precisely* $\pi^*\omega_0$*.*

The main corollary to this is the following

Corollary 2.4 ([5]) *Given a compact symplectic manifold* (M, ω) *with a Hamiltonian* G*-action and moment map* $\mu : M \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ *with* 0 *a regular value and* $N = \mu^{-1}(0)$*, there is a neighbourhood* U *of* N *and a diffeomorphism* $\Phi : N \times \overline{B_h(0; \mathfrak{g}^*)} \to U$ *such that*

$$\Phi^*\omega = \pi^*\omega_0 + \mathrm{d}\tau$$

where $\tau_{(p,\phi)} = \{\phi, \theta_p\}$*, where* θ *is a connection on* $\pi : N \to M/\!\!/G$*.*

Corollary 2.4 tells us that functions and forms supported on a sufficiently small neighbourhood of N can be replaced by functions and forms supported on a sufficiently small neighbourhood of $N \times \{0\}$ in $N \times \mathfrak{g}^*$. This will prove very useful when we come to consider equivariant integration.

2.4 Equivariant Integration

Theorem 2.1 was really introduced by Witten in [11] and the technique that we use to prove this theorem is by Jeffrey and Kirwan in [5] although they go further and produce some results proving a localisation formula.

---

[1] upto symplectomorphism, the uniqueness is a local quality

We need to set up some theory of Gaussian integrals and Fourier analysis on Lie algebras. Given any p-form α on a manifold, we write

$$e^{\alpha} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{n!}.$$

We have a technical result which we will need.

Proposition 2.5 *Let U and V be s-dimensional manifolds.If $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{s} \subset \Omega^1(U)$ and $\{b_j\}_{j=1}^{s} \subset \Omega^1(V)$ then*

$$e_{[2s]}^{\left(i\sum_{j=0}^{s} a_j \wedge b_j\right)} = i^{s^2}[a] \wedge [b]$$

where $[a] = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{s} a_i$.

This is a simple calculation.

We have a general Gaussian integral formula, the proof of which is a standard argument using the method of "Completing the square":

$$\int_{\mathfrak{g}} [\mathrm{d}x] e^{\left(-a|x|^2 + b\langle x,y\rangle\right)} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}}\right)^{s} e^{\left(\frac{b^2}{4a}|y|^2\right)}. \tag{1}$$

Putting $b = i$ and $a = 1/4t$ into this, we have

$$\delta(x) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \left(\sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi}}\right)^{s} e^{\left(-t|x|^2\right)} = \lim_{t\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{s} \int_{\mathfrak{g}} [\mathrm{d}y] e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t} + i\langle x,y\rangle\right)}. \tag{2}$$

Proof of 2.1

(Following [5]) We put a connection on N (or a metric on M) with connection form θ. The generalised form

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_{\mathfrak{g}} e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)} e^{i\omega + i\{\mu,y\}}$$

on M is supported on $N=\mu^{-1}(0)$ by (2), hence by Corollary 2.4

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{t\to\infty}\int_{\mathfrak{g}}[\mathrm{d}y]e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)}\int_{M} e^{i\omega+i\{\mu,y\}} \\
= & \lim_{t\to\infty}\int_{\mathfrak{g}}[\mathrm{d}y]e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)}\int_{N\times\mathfrak{g}^*} e^{i\pi^*\omega_0+\{\mathrm{d}z,\theta\}+i\{z,\mathrm{d}\theta\}+i\{z,y\}} \\
= & \lim_{t\to\infty} i^{s^2}\int_{\mathfrak{g}}[\mathrm{d}y]e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)}\int_{N\times\mathfrak{g}^*} e^{i\pi^*\omega_0+i\{z,\mathrm{d}\theta\}+i\{z,y\}}[\mathrm{d}z]\Omega \\
= & \lim_{t\to\infty} i^{s^2}\int_{\mathfrak{g}}[\mathrm{d}y]e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)}\int_{\mathfrak{g}^*}[\mathrm{d}z]e^{i\{z,y\}}\int_{N} e^{i\pi^*\omega_0+i\{z,\mathrm{d}\theta\}}\Omega \\
= & \lim_{t\to\infty} i^{s^2}(-1)^{s^2}\int_{\mathfrak{g}^*} e^{i\{z,y\}}[\mathrm{d}z]\int_{\mathfrak{g}}[\mathrm{d}y]e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)}\int_{N} e^{i\pi^*\omega_0+i\{z,\mathrm{d}\theta\}}\Omega \\
= & \; i^{s^2}(-1)^{s^2}(2\pi)^s\int_{\mathfrak{g}^*}[\mathrm{d}z]\delta(z)\int_{N} e^{i\pi^*\omega_0+i\{z,\mathrm{d}\theta\}}\Omega \\
= & \; (-i)^{s^2}(2\pi)^s\int_{N} e^{i\pi^*\omega_0}\Omega \\
= & \; (-i)^{s^2}(2\pi)^s\mathrm{vol}G\int_{M/\!\!/G} e^{i\omega_0}.
\end{aligned}
$$

■

We now obtain some useful formula for the integration of general forms on $M/\!\!/G$.

Theorem 2.6 *Let* $\eta\in\Omega^{\bullet}_{G}(M)$ *be equivariantly closed and have representative* $\eta_0\in\Omega^{\bullet}(M/\!\!/G)$. *If* M *has no boundary then*

$$
\int_{M/\!\!/G} e^{i\omega_0}\eta_0 \;=\; \lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{(i)^{s^2}}{(2\pi)^s\,\mathrm{vol}G}\int_{\mathfrak{g}}[\mathrm{d}y]e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)}\int_{M} e^{i\omega+i\{\mu,y\}}\eta(y).
$$

Proof
The proof of this fact is very similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1, but there are a few technicalities to overcome first.

Suppose that $\eta=\sum_I\eta_I\phi_I$ where I is a multi-index, $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^{s}$ is a basis for $\mathfrak{g}^*$ and

$\eta_I \in \Omega^\bullet(M)^G$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathfrak{g}} [\mathrm{d}y] e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)} \int_M e^{i\omega + i\{\mu, y\}} \eta(y) \\
= \;& \int_{\mathfrak{g}} [\mathrm{d}y] e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)} \int_M e^{i\omega} \int_{\mathfrak{g}^*} [\mathrm{d}\phi] e^{i\{\phi, y\}} \delta(\phi - \mu) \eta(y) \\
= \;& \sum_I \int_{\mathfrak{g}} [\mathrm{d}y] e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)} \int_M e^{i\omega} \int_{\mathfrak{g}^*} [\mathrm{d}\phi] e^{i\{\phi, y\}} \delta(\phi - \mu) \eta_I \phi^I(y) \\
= \;& (-1)^{s^2} \sum_I (-i)^{|I|} \int_M e^{i\omega} \eta_I \int_{\mathfrak{g}^*} [\mathrm{d}\phi] \delta(\phi - \mu) \int_{\mathfrak{g}} [\mathrm{d}y] e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)} e^{i\{\phi, y\}} i^{|I|} y_I.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, notice that the last integral is a derivative of the Fourier transform of

$$y \mapsto e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)}$$

which in the limit as $t \to \infty$ is the delta-function $\phi \mapsto \delta(\phi)$. Hence the generalised function

$$\delta(\phi - \mu) \int_{\mathfrak{g}} [\mathrm{d}y] e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)} e^{i\{\phi, y\}} i^{|I|} y_I$$

is supported only on N, which means that by Corollary 2.4, we can replace M with $N \times \mathfrak{g}^*$ in the original integral.

Now we have

$$\iota^* \eta = \mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{g}} \beta + \pi^* \eta_0$$

for some $\beta \in \Omega^\bullet_G(M)$, where $\iota : \mu^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow M$ is the inclusion (see [2]). Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathfrak{g}} [\mathrm{d}y] e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)} \int_M e^{i\omega + i\{\mu, y\}} \eta \;&=\; \int_{\mathfrak{g}} [\mathrm{d}y] e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)} \int_{N \times \mathfrak{g}^*} e^{i\omega + i\{\mu, y\}} \mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{g}} \beta(y) \\
&+\; \int_{\mathfrak{g}} [\mathrm{d}y] e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)} \int_{N \times \mathfrak{g}^*} e^{i\omega + i\{\mu, y\}} \pi^* \eta_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Now let us examine the first term here. From the above argument

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathfrak{g}}[\mathrm{d}y]e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)}\int_{N\times\mathfrak{g}^*} e^{i\omega+i\{\mu,y\}}\mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{g}}\beta(y) \\
= & \int_{\mathfrak{g}}[\mathrm{d}y]e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)}\int_{N\times\mathfrak{g}^*} \mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{g}}\left(e^{i\omega+i\mu(y)}\beta\right)(y) \\
& \text{since } \omega+\mu(y) \text{ is an equivariantly closed form,} \\
= & \int_{\mathfrak{g}}[\mathrm{d}y]e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)}\int_{N\times\mathfrak{g}^*} \mathrm{d}\left(e^{i\omega+i\mu(y)}\beta\right)(y) \\
- & \int_{\mathfrak{g}}[\mathrm{d}y]e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)}\int_{N\times\mathfrak{g}^*} X_y \lrcorner \left(e^{i\omega+i\mu(y)}\beta\right)(y) \\
= & \ 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The last term here vanishes since the integrand does not contain differential forms of top degree, the first by Stokes' theorem.

The rest of the proof now follows that of Theorem 2.1. ■

Remark
Using Theorem 2.2, we find that for every $\eta_0 \in \Omega^\bullet(M/\!\!/G)$, there is a $\eta \in \Omega^\bullet_G(M)$ such that

$$\iota^*\eta = \pi^*\eta_0 + \mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{g}}\beta,$$

where $\iota : \mu^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow M$ is the inclusion and $\pi : \mu^{-1}(0) \to M/\!\!/G$ is the quotient map.

We will simplify matters by following Witten's notation, and write

$$\oint_M \alpha(\xi) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\,(G)}\lim_{t\to\infty}\int_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathrm{d}\xi e^{-\frac{|\xi|^2}{4t}}\int_M \alpha(\xi).$$

In this notation we may rewrite the result of Theorem 2.6 as

$$\int_{M/\!\!/G} e^{i\omega_0}\eta_0 \quad = \quad \frac{(i)^{s^2}}{(2\pi)^s}\oint_M e^{i\omega+i\{\mu,y\}}\eta(y).$$

2.5 Localisation and Residues

First we observe that in the case of a circle action, by Theorem 2.6 we have a Fourier transform

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{i\omega_0}\eta_0 &= \frac{i}{(2\pi)}\oint_M e^{i\omega+i\{\mu,y\}}\eta(y). \\ &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}\,\mathrm{vol}\,\mathrm{S}^1}\mathbf{F}\left(z\mapsto\int_M e^{i\omega+i\{\mu,z\}}\eta(z)\right)(0),\end{aligned}$$

where **F** denotes Fourier transform.

We also have a rather interesting fact that follows from Fourier theory and Theorem 2.6, namely,

Theorem 2.7 *For sufficiently small* $\zeta\in\mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{i\omega_0}\eta_0 &= \lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{(i)}{(2\pi)\,\mathrm{volS}^1}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{d}y e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)}\int_M e^{i\omega+i\{\mu,y\}}\eta(y) \\ &= \lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{(i)}{(2\pi)\,\mathrm{volS}^1}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{d}y e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)}\int_M e^{i\omega+i\{(\mu-\zeta),y\}}\eta(y) \\ &= \lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{(i)}{\left(\sqrt{2\pi}\right)\mathrm{volS}^1}\mathbf{F}\left(y\mapsto e^{\left(\frac{-|y|^2}{4t}\right)}\int_M e^{i\omega+i\{\mu,y\}}\eta(y)\right)(\zeta).\end{aligned}$$

So this last result means that

$$\frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\mathbf{F}\left(z\mapsto\int_M e^{i\omega+i\{\mu,z\}}\eta(z)\right)$$

is smooth on a neighbourhood of 0. But by the localisation theorem (Theorem 1.1), we know that if η is equivariantly closed

$$\int_M e^{i\omega+i\{\mu,z\}}\eta(z)=\int_{M_0}\frac{\iota_{M_0}^*\left(e^{i\omega+i\{\mu,z\}}\eta(z)\right)}{e(z)}$$

where $e(z)$ is the Euler class of the normal bundle of M_0 in M. Thus, by Proposition 8.7 of [5]

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{F}\left(z\mapsto\int_M e^{i\omega+i\{\mu,z\}}\eta(z)\right)(0) \\ =\ &\lim_{\varepsilon\to0_+}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}-i\xi}\mathbf{F}(\chi)(\varepsilon\psi)\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{2}}\left(z\mapsto\int_{M_0}\frac{\iota_{M_0}^* e^{i\omega+i\{\mu,z\}}\eta(z)}{e(z)}\right)(\psi)\mathrm{d}\psi \\ =\ &\lim_{\varepsilon\to0_+}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\int_{\mathbb{R}-i\xi}\mathbf{F}(\chi)(\varepsilon\psi)\int_{M_0}\frac{\iota_{M_0}^*\left(e^{i\omega+i\{\mu,-\psi\}}\eta(-\psi)\right)}{e(-\psi)}\mathrm{d}\psi\end{aligned}$$

where χ is a smooth positive function on $\mathbb{R}$ with compact support and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Professors Jeffrey and Kirwan show that because

$$\mathbf{F}\left(z \mapsto \int_M e^{i\omega+i\{\mu,z\}}\eta(z)\right)$$

is smooth at 0, the latter integral is independent of χ and ξ. Now we may bring to bear the standard theory of complex analysis. We know that $\mathbf{F}(\chi)$ is entire when extended to $\mathbb{C}$ because χ is smooth and compactly supported on $\mathbb{R}$ (Proposition 8.4 of [5]), we also know that μ is constant and non-zero on M_0 since 0 is a regular value of μ. Hence using the Residue theorem from complex analysis

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0_+} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}-i\xi} \mathbf{F}(\chi)(\varepsilon\psi) \int_{M_0} \frac{\iota^*_{M_0}\left(e^{i\omega+i\{\mu,-\psi\}}\eta(-\psi)\right)}{e(-\psi)} \mathrm{d}\psi \\
= \quad & -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathrm{Coeff}_{y^{-1}} \int_{M_0^+} \frac{\iota^*_{M_0^+} e^{i\omega+i\{\mu,y\}}\eta(y)}{e(y)}
\end{aligned}$$

where M_0^+ is the set of fixed points on which μ is positive, and we always take the Laurent expansion of the integrand at $y = 0$. Thus we end up with the following theorem.

Theorem 2.8 *Let M be compact symplectic manifold with Hamiltonian action of the circle such that 0 is a regular value of the moment map μ. Let $\iota : \mu^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow M$ be inclusion. If $\eta \in \Omega_{\mathrm{S}^1}(M)$ is equivariantly closed and satisfies*

$$\iota^*\eta(y) = \pi^*\eta_0 + \mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{g}}\beta(y)$$

for some closed $\eta_0 \in \Omega(M /\!\!/ \mathrm{S}^1)$ and $\beta \in \Omega_{\mathrm{S}^1}(M)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{i\omega_0}\eta_0 &= \frac{i}{(2\pi)} \oint_M e^{i\omega+i\{\mu,y\}}\eta(y) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi \mathrm{vol}\,\mathrm{S}^1} \mathrm{Coeff}_{y^{-1}} \int_{M_0^+} \frac{\iota^*_{M_0^+}\left(e^{i\omega+i\{\mu,y\}}\eta(y)\right)}{e(y)}
\end{aligned}$$

where we always take the Laurent expansion of the integrand at $y = 0$.

Corollary 2.9 *Let M be compact symplectic manifold with Hamiltonian action of the torus $\mathbb{T}^k$ such that $0 \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbb{T}^k)$ is a regular value of the moment map μ. Choose a splitting of $\mathbb{T}^k$ into the product of circles Let $\iota : \mu^{-1}(0) \hookrightarrow M$ be inclusion. If $\eta \in \Omega_{\mathbb{T}^k}(M)$ is equivariantly closed and satisfies*

$$\iota^*\eta(y_1, \ldots, y_k) = \pi^*\eta_0 + \mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{g}}\beta(y_1, \ldots, y_k)$$

for some closed $\eta_0 \in \Omega(M/\!\!/\mathrm{S}^1)$ *and* $\beta \in \Omega_{\mathrm{S}^1}(M)$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{i\omega_0}\eta_0 &= \left(\frac{i}{2\pi}\right)^k \oint_M e^{i\omega+i\{\mu,\underline{y}\}}\eta(\underline{y}) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^k \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\,\mathbb{T}^k}\mathrm{Coeff}_{y_1^{-1},\dots,y_k^{-1}} \int_{M_0^+} \frac{\iota^*_{M_0^+}\left(e^{i\omega+i\{\mu,\underline{y}\}}\eta(\underline{y})\right)}{e(\underline{y})}\end{aligned}$$

where M_0^+ *is the set of fixed points on which each component of the moment map* μ *induced by the splitting is positive. We always take the Laurent expansion of the integrand at* $\underline{y} = 0$.

3 Equivariant Integrals in HyperKähler Reduction

3.1 Preliminaries

Recall that a hyperKähler manifold $(M, \vec{\omega})$ is a $4k$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with three symplectic forms which form the components of the $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$-valued 2-form

$$\vec{\omega} = \omega_{\widehat{\mathbf{i}}} \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{i}} + \omega_{\widehat{\mathbf{j}}} \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{j}} + \omega_{\widehat{\mathbf{k}}} \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{k}}$$

whose associated complex structures (resp $(\widehat{\mathbf{i}}, \widehat{\mathbf{j}}, \widehat{\mathbf{k}})$) obey

$$\widehat{\mathbf{i}}^2 = \widehat{\mathbf{j}}^2 = \widehat{\mathbf{k}}^2 = \widehat{\mathbf{i}}\,\widehat{\mathbf{j}}\,\widehat{\mathbf{k}} = -\mathbb{1}.$$

Let M be compact and G act on M with a tri-Hamiltonian action, that is, the action is Hamiltonian with respect to each of the symplectic forms. Then we have a threefold moment map

$$\vec{\mu} = (\mu_{\widehat{\mathbf{i}}}, \mu_{\widehat{\mathbf{j}}}, \mu_{\widehat{\mathbf{k}}}) : M \to \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \Im\mathbb{H}$$

such that

$$\{\mathrm{d}\vec{\mu}(X), \xi\} = \vec{\omega}(X_\xi, X)$$

for each $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}, X \in \Gamma(\mathrm{T}M)$. For an element $\vec{a} = a_0 + a_1\widehat{\mathbf{i}} + a_2\widehat{\mathbf{j}} + a_3\widehat{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbb{H}$ and $X \in \mathrm{T}_pM$, we set

$$\vec{a}X = a_0X + a_1\widehat{\mathbf{i}}X + a_2\widehat{\mathbf{j}}X + a_3\widehat{\mathbf{k}}X.$$

If 0 is a regular value of $\vec{\mu}$, we can form the hyperKähler reduction

$$\mathcal{M} = \vec{\mu}^{-1}(0)/G = M/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/G.$$

Now we can regard this reduction in several ways:

1. as the quotient of $N_0 = \vec{\mu}^{-1}(0)$ by G,

2. if we fix a complex structure $\widehat{\mathbf{i}}$ then set $\omega_{\mathbb{C}} = \omega_{\widehat{\mathbf{j}}} + i\omega_{\widehat{\mathbf{k}}}$ and $\mu_{\mathbb{C}} = \mu_{\widehat{\mathbf{j}}} + i\mu_{\widehat{\mathbf{k}}}$, then we can view $M /\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/ G$ as $N_{\mathbb{C}} /\!\!/ G$ with respect to the Kähler form $\omega_{\widehat{\mathbf{i}}}$ where $N_{\mathbb{C}} = {\mu_{\mathbb{C}}}^{-1}(0)$,

3. fix a complex structure $\widehat{\mathbf{i}}$ and take the GIT symplectic reduction of M by the complex group $G_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Essentially we'd like to use the first point of view. It is independent of any choices and the various spaces and groups involved will be compact, a fact that the other choices do not share.

We do have *not* have a hyperKähler version of the Coisotropic embedding theorem due to lack of a hyper-Darboux theorem. However, we can make some attempt at looking at the hyperKähler structure near $\vec{\mu}^{-1}(0)$ in the simpler case of a torus action. 2.4

Lemma 3.1 *Let $(M, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle, \vec{\omega})$ be a hyperKähler manifold with a tri-Hamiltonian action of the k-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^k$, and moment map $\vec{\mu} : M \to \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{sp}(1) \cong \mathfrak{t}^k \otimes \Im\mathbb{H}$. Suppose that 0 is a regular value of $\vec{\mu}$, so that $N = \mu^{-1}(0)$ is a submanifold. Then there are k differential 1-forms $\phi^\alpha \in \Omega^1(M;\mathbb{H})$ such that on a sufficiently small tubular neighbourhood of N, we have*

$$\vec{\omega} = \vec{\omega}' - \phi^\alpha \wedge \overline{\phi^\alpha}$$

where $\vec{\omega}'$ is closed and restricts on N to $\pi^*\vec{\omega}_0$, the pullback under the projection of the hyperKähler structure $\vec{\omega}_0$ of $M /\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/ \mathbb{T}^k$. As a result $\phi^\alpha \wedge \overline{\phi^\alpha}$ is also closed.

Proof
Note that in a small tubular neighbourhood U around N in M, $\mathrm{D}\vec{\mu}_p$ is surjective for all $p \in U$. So restricted to U

$$\mathrm{T}M \cong \ker \mathrm{d}\vec{\mu} \oplus (\ker \mathrm{d}\vec{\mu})^\perp.$$

Now, examining $\ker \mathrm{d}\vec{\mu}$, we notice that, because the group acting is a torus, we have for all $p \in U$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{t}^k$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\omega}\left(X_\xi(p), X_\eta(p)\right) &= \{\vec{\mu}(p), [\xi,\eta]\} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ denotes the invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$. Denote the sub-bundle of $\ker \mathrm{d}\vec{\mu}$ by V which form the vertical vector fields over $M/\mathbb{T}^k$, and set

$$H = \ker \mathrm{d}\vec{\mu} \cap (V)^\perp.$$

Now, let $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^k$ be an orthonormal frame of V over a (possibly smaller) U. Then

$$\mathrm{T}M = H \oplus V \oplus V_{\Im\mathbb{H}}$$

where $V_{\Im\mathbb{H}} = \mathrm{Span}\{qX_\alpha | q \in \Im\mathbb{H}\}_{\alpha=1}^k$. Now we can set

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_0^\alpha &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle X_\alpha, \cdot \rangle \\
\phi_1^\alpha &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\langle \widehat{\mathbf{i}}\, X_\alpha, \cdot \right\rangle \\
\phi_2^\alpha &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\langle \widehat{\mathbf{j}}\, X_\alpha, \cdot \right\rangle \\
\phi_3^\alpha &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\langle \widehat{\mathbf{k}}\, X_\alpha, \cdot \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\phi^\alpha = \phi_0^\alpha + \widehat{\mathbf{i}}\,\phi_1^\alpha + \widehat{\mathbf{j}}\,\phi_2^\alpha + \widehat{\mathbf{k}}\,\phi_3^\alpha.$$

Now setting $q_0 = 1, q_1 = \widehat{\mathbf{i}}\,, q_2 = \widehat{\mathbf{j}}\,, q_3 = \widehat{\mathbf{k}}\,$, we have for all α, β and $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle q_i X_\beta, q_j X_\gamma \rangle &= \left\langle q_j^* q_i X_\beta, X_\gamma \right\rangle \\
&= \begin{cases} \omega_{q_j^* q_i}(X_\beta, X_\gamma) & i \neq j \\ \langle X_\beta, X_\gamma \rangle & i = j \end{cases} \\
&= \delta_{ij}\delta_{\beta\gamma},
\end{aligned}$$

since $\vec{\omega}$ vanishes on V by (3). Hence the vector fields $\{q_i X_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^k{}_{i=0}^3$ form an orthonormal frame for $H^\perp$ on U. Using this we can show that

$$\vec{\omega}(q_j X_\beta, q_k X_\gamma) = (\varepsilon_{ijk} + \delta_{0j}\delta_{ik} - \delta_{0k}\delta_{jk})\, \delta_{\beta\gamma} q_i$$

where

$$\varepsilon_{ijk} = \begin{cases} 1 & (i,j,k) \text{ is an even permutation of } (1,2,3) \\ -1 & (i,j,k) \text{ is an odd permutation of } (1,2,3) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It can be readily checked that

$$\phi^\alpha \wedge \overline{\phi^\alpha}(q_j X_\beta, q_k X_\gamma) = -\left(\varepsilon_{ijk} + \delta_{0j}\delta_{ik} - \delta_{0k}\delta_{jk}\right) \delta_{\beta\gamma} q_i.$$

Now let $\vec{\omega}' = \vec{\omega} + \phi^\alpha \wedge \overline{\phi^\alpha}$. Now we know by construction that for $v \in H, X \in H^\perp$ we have $\vec{\omega}(v, X) = 0$, since $qX \in H^\perp$ for all $q \in \mathbb{H}$. So $\vec{\omega}'$ is a purely horizontal form. By construction, $\phi^\alpha \wedge \overline{\phi^\alpha}$ is purely vertical/normal. Since

$$0 = \mathrm{d}\vec{\omega} = \mathrm{d}\vec{\omega}' - \mathrm{d}(\phi^\alpha \wedge \overline{\phi^\alpha})$$

we know that $d\vec{\omega}'$ can have at most one vertical/normal component, and $d(\phi^\alpha \wedge \overline{\phi^\alpha})$ at most one horizontal component. Hence, by comparing components, we have $d\vec{\omega}' = 0$ and $d(\phi^\alpha \wedge \overline{\phi^\alpha}) = 0$.

$\blacksquare$

Remark
Notice that the ϕ_0^α gives rise to the connection on $N \to M/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/\mathbb{T}^k$ generated by the metric when we restrict to N.

Now, a hyperKähler manifold has a canonical 4-form, namely[2]

$$\Omega = \vec{\omega} \dot{\wedge} \vec{\omega} = \omega_{\hat{\mathbf{i}}} \wedge \omega_{\hat{\mathbf{i}}} + \omega_{\hat{\mathbf{j}}} \wedge \omega_{\hat{\mathbf{j}}} + \omega_{\hat{\mathbf{k}}} \wedge \omega_{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}.$$

Our aim is to mimic the construction of the symplectic version of Witten's equivariant integral, but there are hidden dangers in this approach. If we try the approach of taking a complex structure, then not only do we lose the invariance under change of complex structure but we have problems localising the Poincaré dual of $N_{\mathbb{C}} = \mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(0)$. Other methods involve reducing by a non-compact group which may be fine in the algebraic-geometric sense but the integration is not very well-defined.

Definition 3.2 *Let M be a hyperKähler manifold which possesses a tri-Hamiltonian action of the compact Lie group G and $\alpha \in \Omega_G^\bullet(M)$ be an equivariant form. We shall say that α is associated with $\alpha_0 \in \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{M})$ if*

$$\iota^*\alpha = \pi^*\alpha_0 + d_{\mathfrak{g}}\beta$$

where $\iota : \vec{\mu}^{-1}(0) \to M$ is inclusion and $\pi : \vec{\mu}^{-1}(0) \to \mathcal{M}$ is the quotient map and $\beta \in \Omega_G^\bullet(\vec{\mu}^{-1}(0))$. In the case that α and α_0 are compactly supported, we shall say that α is compactly associated with α_0 if α is associated with α_0 as above, and the form β is also compactly supported.

As always, in the de Rham model, equivariant forms are regarded as polynomials functions on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\Omega^{\mathrm{even}}(M)$-coefficients.

Proposition 3.3 *The equivariant form*

$$\Omega(y) = (\vec{\omega} + \{\vec{\mu}, y\}) \dot{\wedge} (\vec{\omega} + \{\vec{\mu}, y\})$$

---

[2] We use the dot . to denote the scalar product of quaternions following the motivation from regarding $\Im\mathbb{H}$ as $\mathbb{R}^3$. Hence $\dot{\wedge}$ is an operation

$$\dot{\wedge} : \Lambda^\bullet(V) \otimes \mathbb{H} \times \Lambda^\bullet(V) \otimes \mathbb{H} \to \Lambda^\bullet(V)$$

for any vector space V, given by combining the quaternionic dot product with the wedge product.

is an equivariantly closed $\mathbb{R}$*-valued form.*

Proof
For each $q \in \{\widehat{\mathbf{i}}, \widehat{\mathbf{j}}, \widehat{\mathbf{k}}\}$, we have

$$\mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\omega_q + \{\mu_q, \xi\})(\xi) = \{\mathrm{d}\mu_q, \xi\} - X_\xi \lrcorner \omega_q = 0.$$

Hence

$$y \mapsto (\vec{\omega} + \{\vec{\mu}, y\}) \overset{\cdot}{\wedge} (\vec{\omega} + \{\vec{\mu}, y\}) = \sum_{q \in \{\widehat{\mathbf{i}}, \widehat{\mathbf{j}}, \widehat{\mathbf{k}}\}} (\omega_q + \{\mu_q, y\}) \wedge (\omega_q + \{\mu_q, y\})$$

is an equivariantly closed $\mathbb{R}$-valued form. ■

Now, there is an issue of compactness arising here. There are no compact hyperKähler manifolds which admit tri-hamiltonian actions of Lie groups. However, we can talk about certain submanifolds of non-compact hyperKähler manifolds which reduce under the action of a tri-hamiltonian group action to submanifolds of the quotient.

Definition 3.4 *We shall say that the tri-Hamiltonian action of a Lie group G on a hyperKähler manifold M with respects a G-invariant submanifold L of M if for each $p \in L$ any vector normal to L at p lies in* $\ker \mathrm{D}\vec{\mu}_p$.

Remark
For a group action to respect a submanifold L of a hyperKähler manifold, it is necessary that the codimension of L in M should not exceed three times the dimension of the group.

Theorem 3.5 *Let M be a hyperKähler manifold that admits a tri-hamiltonian action of the compact Lie group G with moment map $\vec{\mu}$. Let $0 \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Im\mathbb{H}$ be a regular value and suppose further that the action of G respects a G-invariant submanifold L , then*

$$\frac{L \cap \vec{\mu}^{-1}(0)}{G}$$

is a submanifold of $M/\!/\!/\!/G$ with the same codimension as L in M .

Proof
This is an exercise in tranversality. For each $p \in L \cap \vec{\mu}^{-1}(0)$

$$\mathrm{T}_pL + \mathrm{T}_p\vec{\mu}^{-1}(0) \quad = \quad \mathrm{T}_pL + \ker \mathrm{D}\mu_p.$$

Since the action respects L, $\ker \mathrm{D}\mu_p$ contains the normal vectors at p, proving that $L \pitchfork \vec{\mu}^{-1}(0)$. Hence this is a G invariant manifold of dimension $n - 3\dim G$ and the result follows. ■

We will prove a Witten-style formula for submanifolds of hyperKähler spaces that are respected by the group action. To do this, we need a number of results.

Proposition 3.6 *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}+ixy} y^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathrm{d}y = 0$$

Proof
A calculation.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}+ixy} y^{\frac{n}{2}} &= e^{-tx^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\left(\frac{y}{2\sqrt{t}}-ix\sqrt{t}\right)^2} y^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathrm{d}y \\
&= 2e^{-tx^2}\sqrt{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}-ix\sqrt{t}} e^{-z^2} (2\sqrt{t}z + 2ixt)^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathrm{d}z \\
&= 2e^{-tx^2} t^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}-i\mathrm{sign}(x)} e^{-z^2} \left(2\frac{z}{\sqrt{t}} + 2ix\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathrm{d}z \\
&\quad \text{since either branch of the square root} \\
&\quad \text{is holomorphic away from } 0 \\
&\to 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $t \to \infty$, provided $x \neq 0$. ■

Theorem 3.7 *Let $(M, \vec{\omega})$ be a hyperKähler manifold with a tri-hamiltonian*[3] *action of S^1 and associated hyperKähler moment map $\vec{\mu} : M \to \Im\mathbb{H}$. Suppose that 0 is a regular value for $\vec{\mu}$ and let $\mathcal{M} = M/\!\!/\!\!/\!\!/\mathrm{S}^1$ be the hyperKähler reduction of M with hyperKähler structure $\vec{\omega}_0$. If $\eta_0 \in \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{M})$ is compactly supported and compactly associated with $\eta \in \Omega^\bullet_{\mathrm{S}^1}(M)$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\dim \mathcal{M} - \mathrm{deg}\eta_0 + 1) \int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{i\vec{\omega}_0 \dot{\wedge} \vec{\omega}_0} \eta_0 \\
= \quad & \frac{1}{6\pi i\sqrt{2}} \oint_M e^{i\vec{\omega}\dot{\wedge}\vec{\omega}+2i\sqrt{y}\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega}+i|\vec{\mu}|^2 y} \eta(\sqrt{y}).
\end{aligned}$$

---

[3] therefore implying M is not compact

Proof
Notice that

$$e^{2i\sqrt{y}\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega}}\eta(\sqrt{y})$$

is a polynomial in $\sqrt{y}$ due to the presence of a (usual) form in the exponent, so we can apply Proposition 3.6 to show that the integrand is supported on N.

Also, by Lemma 3.1, we have in a neighbourhood of N

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\omega} \dot\wedge \vec{\omega} &= \vec{\omega}' \dot\wedge \vec{\omega}' \\ &- \vec{\omega}' \dot\wedge \left(\phi \wedge \overline{\phi}\right) \\ &+ 6\phi_0 \wedge \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \wedge \phi_3 \end{aligned}$$

and by the remark under Lemma 3.1, ϕ_0 is a connection on $N \to \mathcal{M}$ modified to take real values and scaled by a factor of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. From this it is worth trying to estimate $e^{i\vec{\omega} \dot\wedge \vec{\omega}}$ on the space $N = \vec{\mu}^{-1}(0)$.

Claim 3.8 *On a small enough tubular neighbourhood U of N we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{i\vec{\omega} \dot\wedge \vec{\omega}} \\ = \; & e^{i\vec{\omega}' \dot\wedge \vec{\omega}' - i\vec{\omega}' \dot\wedge \left(\phi \wedge \overline{\phi}\right) + 6i\phi_0 \wedge \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \wedge \phi_3} \\ = \; & e^{i\vec{\omega}' \dot\wedge \vec{\omega}'} \left(1 - i\vec{\omega}' \dot\wedge \left(\phi \wedge \overline{\phi}\right) - 24\vec{\omega}' \dot\wedge \vec{\omega}' \wedge \phi_0 \wedge \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \wedge \phi_3 + 6i\phi_0 \wedge \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \wedge \phi_3\right). \end{aligned}$$

This is just a calculation.

Since the normal bundle of N is trivialised by the nowhere vanishing sections $\vec{q}X_\xi$ for $\vec{q} \in \{\widehat{\mathbf{i}}, \widehat{\mathbf{j}}, \widehat{\mathbf{k}}\}$, we can write $U = N \times V_\varepsilon$ where V_ε is the ball in $\Im\mathbb{H}$ centred at 0 with radius ϵ and such that if $x = (\hat{x}, \vec{z}) \in N \times V_\varepsilon$, then in this trivialisation $\vec{\mu}(\hat{x}, \vec{z}) = \vec{z}$. This follows from the fact that for any local submersion f of manifolds, there are local coordinate charts such that f is locally a projection, see [3]. So we if we set

$$W(\vec{z}, \sqrt{y}) = e^{2i\sqrt{y}\vec{z}.\vec{\omega}},$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \oint_M e^{i\vec{\omega} \dot\wedge \vec{\omega} + 2i\sqrt{y}\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega} + i|\vec{\mu}|^2 y}\eta(\sqrt{y}) \\ = \; & \oint_{N \times V_\varepsilon} e^{i\vec{\omega}' \dot\wedge \vec{\omega}' - i\vec{\omega}' \dot\wedge \left(\phi \wedge \overline{\phi}\right) + 6i\phi_0 \wedge \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \wedge \phi_3 + i|\vec{z}|^2 y} W(\vec{z}, \sqrt{y})\iota^*\eta(\sqrt{y}) \\ = \; & \oint_{N \times V_\varepsilon} e^{i\vec{\omega}' \dot\wedge \vec{\omega}' - i\vec{\omega}' \dot\wedge \left(\phi \wedge \overline{\phi}\right) + 6i\phi_0 \wedge \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \wedge \phi_3 + i|\vec{z}|^2 y} W(\vec{z}, \sqrt{y})\left(\pi^*\eta_0 + \mathrm{d}_{\mathfrak{g}}\beta(\sqrt{y})\right) \\ = \; & \oint_{N \times V_\varepsilon} e^{i\vec{\omega}' \dot\wedge \vec{\omega}' - i\vec{\omega}' \dot\wedge \left(\phi \wedge \overline{\phi}\right) + 6i\phi_0 \wedge \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \wedge \phi_3 + i|\vec{z}|^2 y} W(\vec{z}, \sqrt{y})\pi^*\eta_0 \end{aligned}$$

using a similar argument in Theorem 2.6 and the compactness of the support of β. Using the fact that $\mathrm{d}\vec{z} = X_i \lrcorner \vec{\omega} = \phi - \phi_0$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \oint_M e^{i\vec{\omega}\dot{\wedge}\vec{\omega}+2i\sqrt{y}\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega}+i|\vec{\mu}|^2 y}\eta(\sqrt{y}) \\
= & \oint_{N\times V_\varepsilon} e^{i\vec{\omega}'\dot{\wedge}\vec{\omega}'-i\vec{\omega}'\dot{\wedge}\left(\phi\wedge\overline{\phi}\right)+6i\phi_0\wedge\mathrm{d}vol(\vec{z})+i|\vec{z}|^2 y}W(\vec{z},\sqrt{y})\pi^*\eta_0 \\
= & \oint_{N\times V_\varepsilon} e^{i\vec{\omega}'\dot{\wedge}\vec{\omega}'+i|\vec{z}|^2 y}W(\vec{z},\sqrt{y})\pi^*\eta_0 \\
- & i\oint_{N\times V_\varepsilon} e^{i\vec{\omega}'\dot{\wedge}\vec{\omega}'+i|\vec{z}|^2 y}\vec{\omega}'\dot{\wedge}\ (\mathrm{d}\vec{z}\wedge\mathrm{d}\vec{z}^*)\wedge W(\vec{z},\sqrt{y})\pi^*\eta_0 \\
- & 24\oint_{N\times V_\varepsilon} e^{i\vec{\omega}'\dot{\wedge}\vec{\omega}'+i|\vec{z}|^2 y}\vec{\omega}'\dot{\wedge}\vec{\omega}'\wedge\phi_0\wedge\mathrm{d}vol(\vec{z})\wedge W(\vec{z},\sqrt{y})\pi^*\eta_0 \\
+ & 6i\oint_{N\times V_\varepsilon} e^{i\vec{\omega}'\dot{\wedge}\vec{\omega}'+i|\vec{z}|^2 y}\phi_0\wedge\mathrm{d}vol(\vec{z})\wedge W(\vec{z},\sqrt{y})\pi^*\eta_0 \\
= & 6i\oint_{N\times V_\varepsilon} e^{i\vec{\omega}'\dot{\wedge}\vec{\omega}'+i|\vec{z}|^2 y}\left(4i\vec{\omega}'\dot{\wedge}\vec{\omega}+1\right)\phi_0\wedge\mathrm{d}vol(\vec{z})\wedge W(\vec{z},\sqrt{y})\pi^*\eta_0
\end{aligned}$$

where we have also used the Claim 3.8. Now we examine the integrals in $\vec{z}$ and y. The integral has the form

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\int_{V_\varepsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}+i|\vec{z}|^2 y}W(\vec{z},\sqrt{y})\mathrm{d}vol(\vec{z})\mathrm{d}y.$$

Let Σ_+ be the upper half unit-hemisphere in $\Im\mathbb{H}$ and write

$$\vec{z} = r\vec{\theta}$$

where $\vec{\theta}\in\Sigma_+$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{t\to\infty}\int_{V_\varepsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}+i|\vec{z}|^2 y}W(\vec{z},\sqrt{y})\mathrm{d}vol(\vec{z})\mathrm{d}y \\
= & \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\int_{\Sigma_+}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ir^2 y}W(r\vec{\theta},\sqrt{y})r^2\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}vol(\vec{\theta})\mathrm{d}r.
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$W(\vec{z},\sqrt{y}) = e^{2i\sqrt{y}\vec{z}.\vec{\omega}},$$

and hence is a polynomial in a term of the form $\sqrt{y}\vec{z}.\vec{a}$ for fixed quaternion $\vec{a}$. It makes sense then to evaluate the integral

$$\int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\int_{\Sigma_+}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ir^2 y}(\sqrt{y}\vec{\theta}.\vec{a})^n r^{n+2}\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}vol(\vec{\theta})\mathrm{d}r$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that when n is odd, the integral necessarily vanishes as the integral in r is the integral of an odd function. This leaves us with the case n even. So

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \int_{\Sigma_+} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ir^2y} (\sqrt{y}\vec{\theta}.\vec{a})^2 n r^{2n+2} \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}vol(\vec{\theta}) \mathrm{d}r \\
= & \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \int_{\Sigma_+} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ir^2y} y^n (\vec{\theta}.\vec{a})^{2n} r^{2n+2} \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}vol(\vec{\theta}) \mathrm{d}r \\
= & \left(\int_{\Sigma_+} (\vec{\theta}.\vec{a})^{2n} \mathrm{d}vol(\vec{\theta}) \right) \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ir^2y} y^n r^{2n+2} \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}r \\
= & \; const \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} r^{2n+2} D^n(\delta)(r) \mathrm{d}r \\
= & \; D^n(r \mapsto r^{2n+2})(0) \\
= & \; 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{t\to\infty} \int_{V_\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t} + i|\vec{z}|^2 y} W(\vec{z}, \sqrt{y}) \mathrm{d}vol(\vec{z}) \mathrm{d}y \\
= & \lim_{t\to\infty} \int_{V_\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t} + i|\vec{z}|^2 y} W(\vec{z}, 0) \mathrm{d}vol(\vec{z}) \mathrm{d}y \\
= & \lim_{t\to\infty} \int_{V_\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t} + i|\vec{z}|^2 y} \mathrm{d}vol(\vec{z}) \mathrm{d}y \\
= & \; 2\pi \int_{V_\varepsilon} \delta(|\vec{z}|^2) \mathrm{d}vol(\vec{z}) \\
= & \; 2\pi
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \oint_M e^{i\vec{\omega} \wedge \vec{\omega} + 2i\sqrt{y}\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega} + i|\vec{\mu}|^2 y} \eta(\sqrt{y}) \\
= & \; 6i \frac{2\pi}{vol \mathrm{S}^1} \oint_N e^{i\vec{\omega}' \wedge \vec{\omega}'} \left(4i\vec{\omega}' \wedge \vec{\omega} + 1\right) \phi_0 \wedge \pi^* \eta_0 \\
= & \; 6i \frac{2\pi}{vol \mathrm{S}^1} \oint_N \pi^* \left(e^{i\vec{\omega}_0 \wedge \vec{\omega}_0} \left(4i\vec{\omega}_0 \wedge \vec{\omega}_0 + 1\right) \right) \phi_0 \wedge \pi^* \eta_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, recall that ϕ_0 is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ times the connection 1-form which acts as a fibre volume form. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\oint_M e^{i\vec{\omega}\dot{\wedge}\,\vec{\omega}+2i\sqrt{y}\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega}+i|\vec{\mu}|^2y}\eta(\sqrt{y}) \\
= \;& 6i\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{2}}\oint_{\mathcal{M}} e^{i\vec{\omega_0}\dot{\wedge}\,\vec{\omega_0}}\left(4i\vec{\omega_0}\dot{\wedge}\,\vec{\omega_0}+1\right)\wedge\eta_0 \\
= \;& 6i\pi\sqrt{2}\oint_{\mathcal{M}} e^{i\vec{\omega_0}\dot{\wedge}\,\vec{\omega_0}}\left(4i\vec{\omega_0}\dot{\wedge}\,\vec{\omega_0}+1\right)\wedge\eta_0. \\
= \;& 6i\pi\sqrt{2}(\dim\mathcal{M}-\deg\eta_0+1)\oint_{\mathcal{M}} e^{i\vec{\omega_0}\dot{\wedge}\,\vec{\omega_0}}\wedge\eta_0.
\end{aligned}$$

If the right hand side is known to exist, then the above argument may be reversed. ■

Corollary 3.9 *If M is a hyperKähler manifold with a tri-Hamiltonian action of* S^1 *and L an invariant submanifold respected by* S^1 *. Further, if*

$$\mathcal{L}=(L\cap\vec{\mu}^{-1}(0))/\mathrm{S}^1$$

and $\eta\in\Omega^\bullet_{\mathrm{S}^1}(L)$ is compactly supported and compactly associated with $\eta_0\in\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{L})$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\dim\mathcal{L}-\deg\eta_0+1)\int_{\mathcal{L}} e^{i\iota_0^*(\vec{\omega}_0\dot{\wedge}\,\vec{\omega}_0)}\eta_0 \\
= \;& \frac{1}{6\pi i\sqrt{2}}\oint_L e^{i\iota^*(\vec{\omega}\dot{\wedge}\,\vec{\omega}+2i\sqrt{y}\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega}+i|\vec{\mu}|^2y)}\eta(\sqrt{y}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\iota : L\hookrightarrow M$ and $\iota_0:\mathcal{L}\hookrightarrow\mathcal{M}$ are the inclusions .

Proof

This follows the proof of the above theorem, the main consequence of the action respecting the submanifold is that the Poincaré dual of $\vec{\mu}^{-1}(0)$ in M does not vanish when we restrict it to L. ■

Remark

In these integrals, we have not assumed anything about the (equivariant) closure of η. The integrals work well enough without that assumption. However, in order to use localisation, we *do* need assumptions on equivariant closure.

3.2 Localisation of HyperKähler Integrals for $G = \mathrm{S}^1$

At first sight, it appears that a localisation formula would be difficult to apply to the Witten-style equation we derived in Theorem 3.7 due to the presence of the square roots. What is most important in this formula is that it doesn't depend on choice of square root! We can exchange $-\sqrt{y}$ for $\sqrt{y}$ in the formula and obtain the same result[4]. Hence we have the result for the circle

Theorem 3.10

$$\begin{aligned} &(\dim \mathcal{M} - \deg \eta_0 + 1) \int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{i\vec{\omega}_0 \wedge \vec{\omega}_0} \eta_0 \\ = \quad & \frac{1}{12 i\pi\sqrt{2}} \oint_M e^{i\vec{\omega}\wedge\vec{\omega} + i|\vec{\mu}|^2 y} \left(e^{2i\sqrt{y}\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega}} \eta(\sqrt{y}) + e^{-2i\sqrt{y}\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega}} \eta(-\sqrt{y}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof
Follows from Theorem 3.7 by summing

$$(\dim \mathcal{M} - \deg \eta_0 + 1) \int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{i\vec{\omega}_0 \wedge \vec{\omega}_0} \eta_0 \quad = \quad \frac{1}{6\pi i\sqrt{2}} \oint_M e^{i\vec{\omega}\wedge\vec{\omega} + 2i\sqrt{y}\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega} + i|\vec{\mu}|^2 y} \eta(\sqrt{y})$$

and

$$(\dim \mathcal{M} - \deg \eta_0 + 1) \int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{i\vec{\omega}_0 \wedge \vec{\omega}_0} \eta_0 \quad = \quad \frac{1}{6\pi i\sqrt{2}} \oint_M e^{i\vec{\omega}\wedge\vec{\omega} - 2i\sqrt{y}\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega} + i|\vec{\mu}|^2 y} \eta(-\sqrt{y})$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} &2(\dim \mathcal{M} - \deg \eta_0 + 1) \int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{i\vec{\omega}_0 \wedge \vec{\omega}_0} \eta_0 \\ = \quad & \frac{1}{6\pi i\sqrt{2}} \oint_M e^{i\vec{\omega}\wedge\vec{\omega} + i|\vec{\mu}|^2 y} \left(e^{2i\sqrt{y}\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega}} \eta(\sqrt{y}) + e^{-2i\sqrt{y}\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega}} \eta(-\sqrt{y}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

■

Now for any polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[X]$, we know that the polynomial

$$Q : y \mapsto P(y) + P(-y)$$

is a polynomial consisting only of even powers. Hence $Q(\sqrt{y})$ is a perfectly defined polynomial in y. By defining

$$\begin{gathered} \mathfrak{P} : \mathbb{C}[X] \to \mathbb{C}[X^2] \\ \mathfrak{P}(Q)(X) = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(Q(X) + Q(-X)\right) \end{gathered}$$

---

[4] Notice that we cannot replace $-y$ for y in the symplectic formula without changing the result. This is due to the fact that by changing the sign in this situation, we change a Fourier transform into an inverse Fourier transform

we see that

$$(\dim \mathcal{M} - \deg \eta_0 + 1) \int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{i\vec{\omega}_0 \wedge \vec{\omega}_0} \eta_0 = \frac{1}{6\pi i\sqrt{2}} \oint_M e^{i\vec{\omega}\wedge\vec{\omega} + i|\vec{\mu}|^2 y} \mathfrak{P}\left(z \mapsto e^{2iz\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega}}\eta(z)\right)(\sqrt{y}). \tag{4}$$

Examining the right hand side of the formula in Theorem 3.10, we can see that the integrand in Theorem 3.10 is an entire function of y. This allows us to apply the theory that Jeffrey and Kirwan develop in [5] to reduce the study after localising to residue formulæ. After applying this we find that in the case for the circle we have the following localisation formula using a similar proof to that of Lemma 2.8.

Theorem 3.11 , *Suppose $\eta \in \Omega^\bullet_{S^1}(M)$ is equivariantly closed and associated with the closed form $\eta_0 \in \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{M})$. Let $\iota : M_0 \hookrightarrow M$ be the inclusion of the fixed point set in M and e be the equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle of M_0 in M. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\dim \mathcal{M} - \deg \eta_0 + 1) \int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{i\vec{\omega}_0 \wedge \vec{\omega}_0} \eta_0 \\
= \;& \frac{-1}{6\sqrt{2}\pi \operatorname{vol} S^1} \int_{M_0} \operatorname{Coeff}_{y^{-1}} \left[\iota^* e^{i\vec{\omega}\wedge\vec{\omega} + i|\vec{\mu}|^2 y} \mathfrak{P}\left(z \mapsto \frac{\iota^* e^{2iz\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega}}\eta(z)}{e(z)}\right)(\sqrt{y})\right] \\
= \;& \frac{-1}{6\sqrt{2}\pi \operatorname{vol} S^1} \int_{M_0} \operatorname{Coeff}_{y^{-2}} \left[\iota^* e^{i\vec{\omega}\wedge\vec{\omega} + i|\vec{\mu}|^2 y^2} \left(\frac{\iota^* e^{2iy\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega}}\eta(y)}{e(y)}\right)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof
First we examine the right hand side of (4). By Theorem 1.1, we know that

$$\int_M e^{i\vec{\omega}\wedge\vec{\omega} + i|\vec{\mu}|^2 X^2} e^{2iX\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega}}\eta(X) = \int_{M_0} \frac{\iota^*\left[e^{i\vec{\omega}\wedge\vec{\omega} + i|\vec{\mu}|^2 X^2} e^{2iX\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega}}\eta(X)\right]}{e(X)}.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M e^{i\vec{\omega}\wedge\vec{\omega} + i|\vec{\mu}|^2 X^2} \mathfrak{P}\left(z \mapsto e^{2iz\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega}}\eta(z)\right)(X) \\
= \;& \int_{M_0} \iota^*\left[e^{i\vec{\omega}\wedge\vec{\omega} + i|\vec{\mu}|^2 X^2} \mathfrak{P}\left(z \mapsto \frac{e^{2iz\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega}}\eta(z)}{e(z)}\right)(X)\right]
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}} [\mathrm{d}y] \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} \int_M e^{i\vec{\omega}\wedge\vec{\omega} + i|\vec{\mu}|^2 y} \mathfrak{P}\left(z \mapsto e^{2iz\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega}}\eta(z)\right)(\sqrt{y}) \\
= \;& \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\mathrm{d}y] \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} \int_{M_0} \iota^*\left[e^{i\vec{\omega}\wedge\vec{\omega} + i|\vec{\mu}|^2 y} \mathfrak{P}\left(z \mapsto \frac{e^{2iz\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega}}\eta(z)}{e(z)}\right)(\sqrt{y})\right]
\end{aligned}$$

by putting $X = \sqrt{y}$. Since the integrand is a rational function of y, the rest now follows from the same proof as Lemma 2.8 ■

Remark 3.12 *Notice that in the symplectic case, the only contribution from the fixed point set came from those components on which* μ *was positive. Here the rôle of* μ *is taken by* $|\vec{\mu}|^2$ *which is always positive. It follows that each component of* M_0 *will make a contribution in the hyperKähler case.*

Using this we can just extend this idea to a product of circles to get a Witten-style expression and residue theorem for the torus.

Theorem 3.13 *Let* M *be a hyperKähler manifold with tri-Hamiltonian action of the torus* $\mathbb{T}^k$*. Let* $\mathcal{M} = M////\mathbb{T}^k$ *and with hyperKähler form* $\vec{\omega}_0$*, then for each compactly supported* $\eta_0 \in \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{M})$ *compactly associated with* $\eta \in \Omega^\bullet_G(M)$*, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&(\dim \mathcal{M} - \mathrm{deg}\eta_0 + 1) \int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{i\vec{\omega}_0 \wedge \vec{\omega}_0} \eta_0 \\ = \quad & \left(\frac{1}{6\pi i\sqrt{2}}\right)^k \oint_M e^{i\vec{\omega}\wedge\vec{\omega} + i\sum_{n=0}^k |\vec{\mu}_\nu|^2 y_\nu} \mathfrak{P}\left(\underline{z} \mapsto e^{2i\sum_{\nu=0} kz\vec{\mu}_\nu.\vec{\omega}} \eta(\underline{z})\right)(\sqrt{\underline{y}})\end{aligned}$$

where $\sqrt{\underline{y}} = (\sqrt{y_1}, \ldots, \sqrt{y_k})$.

Proof
We decompose $\mathbb{T}^k$ into the product of circles, and recursively use Theorem 3.7. ■

Corollary 3.14

$$\begin{aligned}&(\dim \mathcal{M} - \mathrm{deg}\eta_0 + 1)\left(-6\pi\sqrt{2}\mathrm{vol}\,\mathrm{S}^1\right)^k \int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{i\vec{\omega}_0 \wedge \vec{\omega}_0} \eta_0 \\ = \quad & \int_{M_0} \mathrm{Coeff}_{y_1{}^{-1}\ldots y_k{}^{-1}} \left[\iota^* e^{i\vec{\omega}\wedge\vec{\omega} + i\sum_{\nu=1}^k |\vec{\mu}_\nu|^2 y_\nu} \mathfrak{P}\left(\underline{z} \mapsto \frac{\iota^* e^{2i\sum_{\nu=1}^k z_\nu\vec{\mu}_\nu.\vec{\omega}}\eta(\underline{z})}{e(\underline{z})}\right)(\sqrt{\underline{y}})\right] \\ = \quad & \int_{M_0} \mathrm{Coeff}_{y_1{}^{-2}\ldots y_k{}^{-2}} \left[\iota^* e^{i\vec{\omega}\wedge\vec{\omega} + i\sum_{\nu=1}^k |\vec{\mu}_\nu|^2 y_\nu^2} \frac{\iota^* e^{2i\sum_{\nu=1}^k y_\nu\vec{\mu}_n u.\vec{\omega}}\eta(\underline{y})}{e(\underline{y})}\right]\end{aligned}$$

Proof
We decompose $\mathbb{T}^k$ into the product of circles, and repeat Theorem 3.11. ■

Now we'd really like to extend this result to more complicated Lie groups than tori, in order to do this we must follow the strategy of Shaun Martin [8] and relate the integrals of a hyperKähler quotient by G to integrals of a hyperKähler quotient by its maximal torus T.

3.3 HyperKähler Quotients and Tori

We closely follow Shaun Martin here. Let G be a compact, connected Lie group with maximal torus T. Let Δ be the set of roots of T with $\Delta^{\pm}$ being the set of ±ve roots. We have the orthogonal projection $p : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{t}$, and we denote the moment map $\vec{\mu} : M \to \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Im\mathbb{H}$ by $\vec{\mu}_G$ and $(p \otimes \mathbb{1}) \circ \vec{\mu} : M \to \mathfrak{t} \otimes \Im\mathbb{H}$ by $\vec{\mu}_T$. Also set

$$\begin{aligned} N_G &= \vec{\mu}_G^{-1}(0), \\ N_T &= \vec{\mu}_T^{-1}(0), \\ \mathcal{M}_G &= N_G/G, \\ \mathcal{M}_T &= N_T/T. \end{aligned}$$

We suppose that 0 is regular for both $\vec{\mu}_G$ and $\vec{\mu}_T$.

Given $\alpha \in \Delta$ we set V_α to be its associated root space (isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$) and define the vector bundle

$$L_\alpha = N_T \times_T V_\alpha,$$

over $\mathcal{M}_T$. Define

$$V_{\pm} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^{\pm}} L_\alpha$$

and

$$V = V_+ \oplus V_-.$$

We also have

$$\begin{tikzcd} N_G/T \arrow[r, hook, "\iota"] \arrow[d, "q"'] & \mathcal{M}_T \\ \mathcal{M}_G & \end{tikzcd}.$$

Proposition 3.15 *[cf Proposition 1.2 of [8]]*

1. *The vector bundle $V_+ \to \mathcal{M}_T$ has a section s, which is transverse to the zero section Z, and such that the zero set of s is N_G/T. It follows that the normal bundle*
$$\mathcal{V}(N_G/T; \mathcal{M}_T) \cong \iota^* V_+ \otimes \Im\mathbb{H}$$
2. *Let* Vert(q) *be the vertical subspace of the fibration $q : N_G/T \to \mathcal{M}_G$, that is the kernel of q_*. Then*
$$\mathrm{Vert}(q) \cong \iota^* V_+$$

This is a simple extension of Martin's results in [8].

Theorem 3.16 *Let* $e_+ = e(V_+)$*, then for each compactly supported* $\alpha \in \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{M}_G)$ *with lift* $\tilde{\alpha} \in \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{M}_T)$ *(that is* $\iota^*\tilde{\alpha} = q^*\alpha$*)*

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_G} \alpha = \frac{1}{|W|} \int_{\mathcal{M}_T} \tilde{\alpha} \wedge e_+^4,$$

where W *is the Weyl group of* T *in* G*.*

Proof

(Adapted from the proof of Theorem B [8])

First note that $\iota^* e_+ = e(\mathrm{Vert(q)})$ by 3.15. By arguments given in [8], $q_*\iota^*e_+ = |W|$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{M}_G} \alpha &= \frac{1}{|W|} \int_{\mathcal{M}_G} \alpha \wedge q_*\iota^* e_+ \\
&= \frac{1}{|W|} \int_{N_G/T} q^*\alpha \wedge \iota^* e_+ \\
&= \frac{1}{|W|} \int_{N_G/T} \iota^*\tilde{\alpha} \wedge \iota^* e_+ \\
&= \frac{1}{|W|} \int_{\mathcal{M}_T} \iota_*\iota^*(\tilde{\alpha} \wedge e_+) \\
&= \frac{1}{|W|} \int_{\mathcal{M}_T} \tilde{\alpha} \wedge e_+ \wedge e(V_+ \otimes \Im\mathbb{H}) \\
&= \frac{1}{|W|} \int_{\mathcal{M}_T} \tilde{\alpha} \wedge e_+^4.
\end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 3.17 *The equivariant representative of* $e_+ \in \mathrm{H}^\bullet(\mathcal{M}_T)$ *is given by*

$$w(y) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha(y) \in \mathrm{H}_T^\bullet(M).$$

Proof

Since $\pi_\alpha : L_\alpha = N_T \times_T V_\alpha \to \mathcal{M}_T$ is a line bundle, we may form $q^*L_\alpha \to N_T$ where $q : N_T \to \mathcal{M}_T$ is the quotient map. From the standard theory of principal fibrations, we know that

$$q^*L_\alpha \cong N_T \times L_\alpha.$$

This is not trivial in the equivariant sense, so we introduce the equivariant connection $\mathrm{d} + \alpha$. Hence

$$q^*e(L_\alpha)(\xi) = e(q^*L_\alpha)(\xi) = \alpha(\xi).$$

Thus we may conclude that $e(L_\alpha)(\xi) = \alpha(\xi)$.

Hence

$$e(V_+) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} e(L_\alpha) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \alpha.$$

$\blacksquare$

Finally we see that

Theorem 3.18 *If η is compactly associated with η_0, then*

$$\begin{aligned} &(\dim \mathcal{M} - \deg \eta_0 + 1)) \int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{i\vec{\omega}_0 \dot{\wedge} \vec{\omega}_0} \eta_0 \\ = \;& \left(\frac{1}{6\pi i\sqrt{2}}\right)^k \frac{1}{|W|} \oint_M e^{i\vec{\omega} \dot{\wedge} \vec{\omega} + i|\vec{\mu}|^2 y} \mathfrak{P}\left(z \mapsto e^{2iz\vec{\mu}.\vec{\omega}} w(z)^4 \eta(z)\right)(\sqrt{y}). \end{aligned}$$

The consequence of this theorem is that now we can replace the Lie group G by its maximal torus and use 3.7 recursively à la Guillemin and Kalkman[2].

3.4 Concluding Remarks

The techniques used here apply also for Quaternionic Kähler manifolds. Theorem 3.1 works due to the fact that, although the complex structures are only locally defined, the 2-form $\vec{\omega}$ with values in End(TM) and the 4-form $\Omega = \vec{\omega} \dot{\wedge} \vec{\omega}$ exist globally with identical relations on the moment *section*, $\vec{\mu}$.

If $S \subset M$ is a 3-Sasakian hypersurface whose structure is induced by the hyperKähler structure on M which posesses a trihamiltonian group action, then we may obtain a 3-Sasakian reduction of S. The integration formulæ derived here will be valid for the reduction of S and will prove useful in calculating intersection forms since it is possible to have a compatible action on a compact 3-Sasakian manifold.

The Author would like to thank the EPSRC for his financial support, the American Institute of Mathematics for its helpful workshop on "Moment maps and Surjectivity in various Geometries" as well as the organisers Dr. Eugene Lerman, Dr. Tara Holm and Dr. Susan Tolman, and is appreciative of (in no particular order) the very welcome input of Dr. Alistair Craw, Prof. Frances Kirwan, Prof. Lisa Jeffrey, Prof. John Rawnsley, Dr. Roger Bielawski and Dr. Richard Thomas, and Prof. Charles Boyer. HOAMGD.

References

[1] Berline, N., Getzler, E., and Vergne, M. *Heat kernels and Dirac operators.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[2] Guillemin, V., and Kalkman, J. The Jeffrey-Kirwan localization theorem and residue operations in equivariant cohomology. *J. Reine Angew. Math. 470* (1996), 123–142.

[3] Guillemin, V., and Pollack, A. *Differential topology.* Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1974.

[4] Guillemin, V., and Sternberg, S. *Symplectic techniques in physics*, second ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[5] Jeffrey, L. C., and Kirwan, F. C. Localization for nonabelian group actions. *Topology 34*, 2 (1995), 291–327.

[6] Kirwan, F. C. Topology of hyperkähler quotients. *IHES/M/85/35*.

[7] Kirwan, F. C. *Cohomology of quotients in symplectic and algebraic geometry.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1984.

[8] Martin, S. Symplectic quotients by a nonabelian group and by its maximal torus. *math/0001002* (2000).

[9] Munn, J. The ADHM construction and its applications to Donaldson Theory. *Thesis for the Degree of Ph.D at the University of Warwick* (2001).

[10] Selby, M. Donaldson invariants and equivariant cohomology. *Thesis for the degree of D.Phil at the University of Oxford* (1998).

[11] Witten, E. Two-dimensional gauge theories revisited. *J. Geom. Phys. 9*, 4 (1992), 303–368.